LTEA Special Property Owners' Meeting**

Vermilion County Farm Bureau

February 17, 2021 – 6:00 PM

Minutes

- 1. Call to Order, Dr. Bartosh: *Dr, Bartosh called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM and asked everyone to join him in saluting the flag.*
- 2. Roll Call Secretary: Present Bartosh, Bennett, Butchko, Cole, Hines, Peterson, and Smoot.
- 3. Recognition of Guests: Sherry Cole counted 24 guests present and later reported 5 additional guests arriving after the meeting began.
- 4. Bridge Replacement: Dr. Bartosh presented a PowerPoint* detailing a timeline of events, costs, reports and comments from engineers, type of preferred replacement, the Board's Position on replacing the Bridge, the procedure for passing a Special Assessment to pay the estimated costs of approximately \$62,000, and what owners can expect if the assessment is not passed.
- 5. Special Assessment: Discussed in the PowerPoint presentation by Dr. Bartosh.
- 6. Questions and Answers concerning Bridge and Special Assessment: *Dr. Bartosh was thanked* for a thorough presentation. Several stated they were surprised at the low cost. An owner next to the Bridge asked how the new deck would affect his property; Dr. Bartosh said it should not and replied the owner's concerns would be discussed with the contractor. Several guests asked about deepening and/or widening the canal; that probably cannot be done, however, the issue would be discussed with the contractor. The guests spoke positively about replacing the Bridge; no one openly opposed replacing the Bridge. An owner asked how long it would take to complete the project; Dr. Bartosh reported the contractor saying 10 days. Upon hearing Dr. Bartosh's reply, the owner said, "Start tomorrow".
- 7. Adjourn: Pat moved, and John Hines seconded, a motion to adjourn. After asking for a voice vote, Dr. Bartosh declared the meeting adjourned at 7: 15 PM.

*The	Power	Point is	available	Ωn	lteail	com
1115	r w v c i	FUILL 15	avallable		n ean.	

^{**}Meeting was for information purposes only; no formal action was taken. Consequently, a quorum of property owners, was not required.